

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/843,377	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BENNETT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> J. Eric Angell	<b>Art Unit</b> 1635	

**All Participants:**

(1) J. Eric Angell.

(2) Jane Licata.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 February 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

DAVE T. NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER




(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicants' representative to indicate the proposed Examiner's amendment would overcome any possible rejections and thus make the claims allowable. Applicants representative agreed to the proposed claim amendment to claim 1, and approved entering the proposed change by Examiner's amendment.